

Development Proposals**11:20 a.m. - 12:25 p.m. (1 hr. + 5 min.)**

- Recipient countries begin to craft development project proposals to submit to one or both of the lender countries - countries should adapt their proposals based on the respective lender countries' varying conditions, strategic initiatives, pros and cons of accepting loans or grants from the lender countries, etc.
- Lender and recipient countries engage in discussions and negotiations before official proposals are submitted (both lender and recipient countries can make initial communication with the other through communique document and can also agree to meet for in-person discussions); Recipient countries can also meet with one another if it is mutually beneficial to their respective development project proposals
- Recipient countries consider pros and cons of accepting development assistance/investment from both lender countries
- Crisis! Conflict in the South China Sea!
 - China fires on a Vietnamese ship in the South China sea, and it sinks!
 - Vietnam calls on its ASEAN associates to show their support in this conflict (namely Indonesia)
 - U.S.
 - G7 increases budget for proposals to combat China's actions and increased Chinese influence in any of the recipient countries

Submit & Consider Proposals**12:25 - 12:45 p.m. (20 min.)**

- Recipient countries submit final project proposals based on negotiations and discussion in last section
- Lender countries, based on their priorities, strategic plans, and budgets decide which projects they are willing to accept and fund
- After receiving decisions from lender countries, recipient countries that have received a decision for funding/partnership from both lenders must choose one lender to work with on their development project proposal based on what is most beneficial to their country and aligns best with their current economic and political climate
- Recipient and lender countries must provide reasoning as to why they made their decisions to the rest of the group and report on their final budgets and development plans

Actors:***20 students total***

- Lender countries:
 - G7 - (U.S., U.K., Germany, Italy, France, Canada, and Japan)
 - China
- Five recipient countries
 - Europe: Greece
 - Latin America: Peru
 - Asia: Indonesia

- Africa: Nigeria and Kenya

	G7 Countries	China	Greece	Indonesia	Nigeria	Kenya	Peru
1	Ashwin Thomas	Wynne Conger	Rosa Huffman	Livia Peeters	Jordan Jacoel	Allison Hughes	Samantha Keeperts
2	Talyn Summers	Oscar Kaeli	Joyce Grace Casell	Jacob Nissley	Sophia Mendoza	AJ Gervasio	Miriam Corrales
3	Joy Blessings Casell	Aryav Dhar	Isabel Liu	Christopher Samuel		Colin Bowerman	Nestor Fioretos

Materials Needed:

- Resource Guide
 - Topic overview
 - Country-specific resources
 - Questions to Consider
- BRI + PGII development proposals/guidelines (loans vs. grants, etc.) w/ outline of development goals, examples of past projects (printed)
- Recipient development project proposal docs (printed) - make space at end where lender countries can check approved or not
- Communiques/requests to meet with other countries and lender countries (printed)
- Shared Google docs for country groups to work on and list priorities, goals, notes/brainstorm, etc.

Interns/Incoming Staff Roles:

- Jon + Kate
 - Monitor room, answer questions for students, make sure on task and on time, etc.
 - Give feedback on development project proposals and on lender countries' decision-making regarding acceptance of proposals

Notes:

- PGII proposals are values-driven, high standards, transparency, and private sector investment and standards for investment - climate and energy security, digital connectivity, health systems and health security, and gender equality and equity
- BRI proposals are more at discretion of recipient countries but with lending rates set by China
- Countries have to weigh costs and benefits of agreeing to values set by PGII and potentially more limited projects or more freedom with BRI but with more risky lending
- The U.S. has launched a new development finance institution to compete with China. Providing developing countries with more financing sources is a smart strategy, but this initiative by itself will probably not change the picture very much. Developing countries have various funding sources already. They prefer to use Chinese financing for big projects in transport and power for specific reasons. Private funding is too expensive and short-term (usually max five years). Western donors and their multilateral banks give grants or lend on extraordinarily generous terms. But these traditional donors prefer to finance social services, administration, democracy-promotion – they have gotten out of hard infrastructure almost completely.